

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,567	SACKRISON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Venci	1641	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) David J. Venci (USPTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Patrick O'Connell (Popovich et al.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: May 12, 2006
Time: 15:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:


none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner wished to confirm whether Applicants filed a response to the outstanding Office Action. Applicants' representative confirmed that the instant application is abandoned.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)